SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Hamilton County

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$25,257,084

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| | | | | | Nat'l Ranking (I | Percentile):* |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Group B | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 22 | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 100.00 % | 95.40 % | 1 | 93.55 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 95.43 % | 89.59 % | 2 | 86.03 % | 95 | 94 |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.65 | 6.6 | 21 | 4.83 | 10 | 11 |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 88.85 % | 1 | 81.34 % | 100 | 100 |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 84.52 % | 79.07 % | 10 | 70.65 % | 75 | 72 |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 87.40 % | 82.87 % | 10 | 81.57 % | 64 | 60 |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 56.69 % | 50.15 % | 9 | 45.66 % | 72 | 70 |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 94.60 % | 1 | 96.25 % | 100 | 100 |
| Overall Ranking: | | In St | tate: 10 / 22 | Nation | nally: 67 | 68 |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed | l Units: | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$41,802 | \$14,878 | | \$27,146 | 127 Units | 12.20 % |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$30,306 | \$11,426 | | \$15,140 | 118 Units | 11.30 % |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$19,119 | \$19,033 | | \$20,860 | 22 Units | 2.10 % |
| TBRA Unit | \$17,720 | \$4,027 | | \$3,210 | 777 Units | 74.40 % |

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Hamilton County

ОН

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in **HOME-assisted projects**)

PJ: State:* National:**

| IXemai | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | \$68,030 | | | | | |
| | \$80,579 | | | | | |
| | \$97,127 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| ental | Homebuyer |
|----------|-----------|
| \$68,030 | \$121,792 |
| \$80,579 | \$83,337 |
| \$97,127 | \$76,352 |
| | |

| r | Homeowner |
|---|-----------|
| 2 | \$19,119 |
| 7 | \$21,381 |
| 2 | \$23,684 |

CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ:

National Avg:

0.8 % 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.93

| RACE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|
| White: | 28.3 | 24.6 | 68.2 | 26.1 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 16.5 | 25.4 | 22.7 | 43.5 |
| Black/African American: | 70.1 | 72.0 | 22.7 | 72.0 | Elderly: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 6.3 |
| Asian: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 77.2 | 51.7 | 18.2 | 42.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | Related/Two Parent: | 5.5 | 20.3 | 36.4 | 3.9 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 1.6 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A | SSISTAN | ICE: | | |
| 1 Person: | 15.7 | 22.0 | 36.4 | 33.8 | Section 8: | 29.1 | 1.7 | | |
| 2 Persons: | 18.9 | 18.6 | 22.7 | 23.7 | HOME TBRA: | 12.6 | <u>)</u> | | |
| 3 Persons: | 30.7 | 32.2 | 4.5 | 22.7 | Other: | 8.0 | } | | |
| 4 Persons: | 22.8 | 15.3 | 18.2 | 11.1 | No Assistance: | 57.5 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 6.3 | 6.8 | 13.6 | 3.4 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 4.7 | 3.4 | 0.0 | 4.8 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.5 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 4.5 | 0.0 | # of Section 504 Compliant U | Jnits / Co | mpleted Un | its Since 200 | 1 7 |

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

— HOME PROGRAM — SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Hamilton County State: OH Group Rank: 67

State Rank: 10 / 22 PJs (Percentile)

Overall Rank: 68 (Percentile)

Summary: 0 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|--------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 84.52 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOMF | < 70%** | 87.4 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION- | YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | > 3.030 | 0.81 | |

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.